

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/683,968		10/09/2003	Burton L. Hart	27726/94926	1844	
23644	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER		
BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP				ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Officitoo,	, 12 000	y <b>2</b> .00		1761		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>み</b>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Assistant Commence	10/683,968	HART, BURTON L.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.						
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tircuit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status		•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2006.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 33-43</u> is/are pending in the	application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>18-24,37-39 and 43</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-24,37-39 and 43</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-17 and 25-36</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,15-17 and 25-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		•					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	or.	· ·						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct								
1.1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.							
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	• •							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
•	•							
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 440)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D							
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-940)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a. 🗖	Patent Application (PTO-152)						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 15-17, 33-36 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Webster et al.

There is disclosed in Webster a hot water dispensing apparatus for use with a beverage maker having a source 136, T of heated water, the hot water dispensing apparatus comprising: a housing 8 having a first side (left side) with means 82 for removably attaching the housing to the beverage maker; the housing having a second side (right side) with means 82 for removably attaching the housing to the beverage maker; the housing having an outlet aperture 81; a controllable valve 135 carried on the housing (and rotatably attached thereto) at the outlet aperture; a supply tube (means for receiving hot water) 134 attachable to (by connection means) the source 136 of heated water in the beverage maker; and fasteners 84 for attaching the housing.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/683,968

Art Unit: 1761

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webster et al. in view of Reese.

Reese discloses that it is old and well known in the art to insulate a hot water supply tube.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the supply tube of Webster with insulation as taught in Reese, in order to help maintain the temperature of the hot water as it is delivered.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 18-24, 37-39 and 43 are allowed.

Claims 8-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/683,968

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla

29 March 2006

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761